



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,372	01/23/2004	Kiyohito Yamamoto	00862.023416	4456
5514	7590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			STOCK JR, GORDON J	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	I.		
Office Action Comments	10/762,372	YAMAMOTO, KIYOHITO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gordon J Stock	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			;		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5-9 and 12-14</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,4,10 and 11</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			d) .		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20040123. 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/762,372

Art Unit: 2877

Page 2

Drawings and Specification

DETAILED ACTION

1. The drawings and specification are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 6 of Figs. 1, 2, and 5. In addition, Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kida et al. (6,577,382) in view of Holcman et al. (6,544,805).

Art Unit: 2877

As for claims 1, 2, 5-9, 13-14, Kida in a substrate transport apparatus and method disclose the following: a rough alignment/prealignment unit with image sensing for performing alignment with marks, notches; whereby, these marks will be in the view range of the prealigner to be detected (Fig. 11: 224, 226, 227) with a first stage, an arm (Fig. 11: 228) and a transfer unit for placing wafer from first stage to second stage (Fig. 11: 225); a second alignment unit with image sensing (Figs. 11 and 12: 219); and a second stage (Fig. 11: 222). The second alignment unit detects the notch by detecting the offset gathered by the first alignment unit which this offset defines a predetermined position of the notch to a reference position when transferred to the second stage; therefore, there is a relation to a position to the second alignment system upon transfer of the wafer from one stage to the other (col. 9, lines 1-20 and lines 40-50); In addition, edge detection is used by imaging notches and use of the periphery of wafer in the prealignment (col. 38, lines 15-65)

As for the second alignment system having a higher magnification than the first, it is suggested for the first alignment unit is a rough prealigner (Fig. 11: 224, 226, 227), and the second is a fine alignment system (Fig. 11: 219). However, Holcman in a method for determining orientation of a wafer teaches that coarse alignment is used with lower magnification than fine alignment (col. 7, lines 1-5). Therefore, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the second alignment unit had higher magnification than the first alignment unit, for rough alignment systems use lower magnification than fine alignment units.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3-4 and 10-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

As to claim 3, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an exposure apparatus which performs alignment on a substrate on first and second stages "said mark on said substrate exists within a view range of said second image sensing unit," in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 3.

As to **claim 4**, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an exposure apparatus which performs alignment on a substrate on first and second stages "said mark on said substrate exists within a view range of said second image sensing unit," in combination with the rest of the limitations of **claim 4**.

As to claim 10, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an alignment method "said mark on said substrate exists within a view range of said second image sensing unit," in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 10.

As to claim 11, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in an alignment method "said mark on said substrate exists within a view range of said second image sensing unit," in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 11.

Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

Application/Control Number: 10/762,372

Art Unit: 2877

1) Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax

cover sheet; and

2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as

quickly as possible.

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The

form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30

(November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (571) 272-2431.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-2800 ext 77.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private Pair

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gs

August 6, 2004

Zandra V. Smith

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2877